
QESI

USABILITY REPORT

Darian Gleghorn
Martha Clark
Stephen Spencer
Ssu-Ting Wang
Yuqing Chen



Process



Client Kickoff

Goal

Ensure the QEESI is usable by the general population via these focus areas



Ensure online survey is consistent, valid, and usable



Easy for the general population to interpret the results



Compare the results over time



Make QEESI easier to share with doctor

Research Questions

Our approach

ENSURE CONSISTENCY, VALIDITY, AND USABILITY

What obstacles prevent users from completing the QEESI?

Is the current tool usable?

How easily do users understand the content of the QEESI?

Are there major "pain points" or confusion with wording?

EASY TO INTERPRET THE RESULTS

Does the display of the results make sense?

How easily and successfully do users score and interpret the QEESI?

COMPARE THE RESULTS OVER TIME

How easily do users compare the results over time?

MAKE QEESI EASY TO SHARE WITH DOCTOR

Is it easy to share the results of the QEESI?

Can results be shared by the method users expect?

Heuristic Evaluation

Method

A usability inspection method conducted by evaluators to identify problems in a user interface against a set of Heuristic Principles.



Nielsen's 10 Usability Heuristics

- Visibility of system status
- Match between system and real world
- User control and freedom
- Consistency and standards
- Help users recognize, diagnose, recover from errors
- Error prevention
- Recognition rather than recall
- Flexibility and efficiency of use
- Aesthetic and minimalist design
- Help and documentation



Ranking of Usability Issues

- 1 Low
- 2 Medium
- 3 High
- 4 Critical



3 Evaluators

- Individually evaluated based on heuristics
- Compared findings from individual evaluations
- Discussed and ranked issues found in evaluations

- 1. Wordy instruction & lack of visual hierachy
- 2. Easy to lose sight of scale while scrolling
- 3. Two different color lines in Symptom Star do not match users' expectations
- 4. Lack a salient way to remind users to save their results
- 5. Poor Error Reporting

Resize font: Toxicant Induced Loss of Tolerance (TILT) Please complete the TILT screening survey below. Thank you! Country Andorra Please provide your ZIP or Postal code: 888888 **QEESI©** Quick Environmental Exposure and Sensitivity Inventory V-1 The purpose of this questionnaire is to help identify health problems you may be having and to understand your responses to various exposures. Complete the survey, describing how you are now. Prior to activating the "SUBMIT" button at the end of the survey Please consider saving your responses and/or making a printout of your responses using your browser options. Scoring the QEESI© The Quick Environmental Exposure and Sensitivity Inventory (QEESI©) was developed as a screening questionnaire for multiple chemical intolerances (MCI). The instrument has four scales: Symptom Severity, Chemical Intolerances, Other Intolerances, and Life Impact. Each scale contains 10 items, scored from 0 = "not a problem" to 10 = "severe or disabling problem." A 10-item Masking Index gauges ongoing exposures that may affect individuals' awareness of their intolerances as well as the intensity of their responses to environmental exposures. Individuals whose symptoms began or intensified following a particular exposure event can fill out the QEESI© using two different ink colors, one showing how they were before the event, and the second how they have been since the event. The QEESI© displays a "Symptom Star" (Figure 1) which provides a graphical representation of patients' responses on the Symptom Severity Scale. Figure 1. QEESI Symptom Star illustrating symptom severity in an individual before and after an exposure event (e.g., pesticide application, indoor air contaminants, chemical spill) Symptom Star HEAD

AIR/MM

COG

- 1. Wordy instruction & lack of visual hierachy
- 2. Easy to lose sight of scale while scrolling
- 3. Two different color lines in Symptom Star do not match users' expectations
- 4. Lack a salient way to remind users to save their results
- 5. Poor Error Reporting

[0 = not at all a proble				numbei oms] [1		ıbling s	ymptor	ns]		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Diesel or gas engine exhaust	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tobacco smoke	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Insecticide	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gasoline (For example, at a service at a service at a service	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paint or paint thinner	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cleaning products such as sinfectants, bleach, bathroom eansers or floor cleaners	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certain perfumes, air fresheners or ner fragrances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresh tar or asphalt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nail polish, nail polish remover, or irspray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New furnishings such as new rpeting, a new soft plastic shower rtain or the interior of a new car	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- 1. Wordy instruction & lack of visual hierarchy
- 2. Easy to lose sight of scale while scrolling
- 3. Two different color lines in Symptom Star do not match users' expectations
- 4. Lack a salient way to remind users to save their results
- 5. Poor Error Reporting


Scoring the QEESI©

The Quick Environmental Exposure and Sensitivity Inventory (QEESI©) was developed as a screening questionnaire for multiple chemical intolerances (MCI). The instrument has four scales: Symptom Severity, Chemical Intolerances, Other Intolerances, and Life Impact. Each scale contains 10 items, scored from 0 = "not a problem" to 10 = "severe or disabling problem." A 10-item Masking Index gauges ongoing exposures that may affect individuals' awareness of their intolerances as well as the intensity of their responses to environmental exposures.

Individuals whose symptoms began or intensified following a particular exposure event can fill out the QEESI© using two different ink colors, one showing how they were before the event, and the second how they have been since the event. The QEESI© displays a "Symptom Star" (Figure 1) which provides a graphical representation of patients' responses on the Symptom Severity Scale.

Figure 1. QEESI Symptom Star illustrating symptom severity in an individual before and after an exposure event (e.g., pesticide application, indoor air contaminants, chemical spill)


Symptom Star



3

- 1. Wordy instruction & lack of visual hierarchy
- 2. Easy to lose sight of scale while scrolling
- 3. Two different color lines in Symptom Star do not match users' expectations
- 4. Lack a salient way to remind users to save their results
- 5. Poor Error Reporting

Reminder in the introduction:



Reminder between symtom scores and their explainations:

COR = Heart/chest-related symptoms
AIR/MM = Airway or mucous membrane symptoms

Additional information on scoring and interpreting the QEESI© can be viewed at www.chemicalexposures.com

Prior to activating the "SUBMIT" button at he end of the survey Please consider saving your responses and/or making a printout of your responses using your browser options.

HEAD = Head-related symptoms

Reminder after submitting the result:


Close survey

Thank you for taking the TILT screening survey. Please consider saving either a print or file copy of your responses for later reference.


4

- 1. Wordy instruction & lack of visual hierarchy
- 2. Easy to lose sight of scale while scrolling
- 3. Two different color lines in Symptom Star do not match users' expectations
- 4. Lack a salient way to remind users to save their results
- 5. Poor Error Reporting

Do not provide immediate feedback allow users to quickly identify the errors:



Failed to precisely indicate where the problem was:




Department of Family & Community Medicine

Competitive Analysis


Competitive Analysis


- Evaluate competitors to determine which design and feature decisions are "best practices"
- Direct competitors the most direct rivals in the market
- Indirect competitors different industry, can provide insight into how others deliver similar concepts

Direct & Indirect Competitors




	Us	Direct	Indirect – Health-related Indirect – not Health-related							Health-related
	QEESI (TILT)	qeesi.org	Mayo Clinic	Psychology Today	M3 Screen	British Heart Foundation	Real Age Test	Essilor Eye Test	16 Personalities	Truity Psychometrics
					Featur	e before asses	sment			
Estimated time provided				\					\	
Clear instruction & disclaimer								/	/	\
		Feature of assessment								
Progress indicator				\	/	✓	\	\	\	\
Split into several pages		\	\	\	\	\	\	\	\	\
Easily identified scale point				\	\				\	\
Content type	Text	Text	Text	Text	Text	Text & Images	Text	Text, images, interative media	Text	Text
Track changes over time							\			







Finding 2

Clear and well-organized instructions can help users read easily. Also, using graphic may help communication.










Competitive Analysis Results (Continued)

	Us	Direct		Indirect – Health-related						Indirect – not Health-related	
	QEESI (TILT)	qeesi.org	Mayo Clinic	Psychology Today	M3 Screen	British Heart Foundation	Real Age Test	Essilor Eye Test	16 Personalities	Truity Psychometrics	
	Feature of results										
Results calculated and analyzed automatically	O	/	\	/		/	\	/	\		
Provide suggestions			/	/	\		\	/	\	\	
Save Results		\					\		\		
Ways to present results	Spider chart, text, table	Spider chart, text, table	Text	Text, graphs	Text, graphs	Text, articles	Text	Text, graphs	Text, graphs, articles	Text, graphs	
Ways to share result	Print	Print	Print	N/A	N/A	Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn	N/A	N/A	Facebook, Twitter	N/A	
Understandable results	1	1	4	3	4	5	2	5	5	4	









Usability Test


Demographics

We used the BREESI as the screener to recruit people to take the QEESI. We ultimately recruited 9 participants to conduct usability testing.










Process - User Interviews

1 Moderator

5 In-person

1 Note-taker

4 Remote



Task

Your doctor has recommended that you take the QEESI before your appointment and wants to talk through your results during the appointment.

Ask questions about

- Experience with QEESI
- Experience sharing results with doctors

Findings & Recommedations

Display of Instructions

5/9 participants had trouble with the instructions, which were too wordy and long that they tended to ignore them.

66

Would be better if they were broken up and not doctor, patient, researcher all together.

66

Lots of text at the begining, would skip it, mentioning the doctor doesn't seem relevant.

66

I wouldn't read all the stuff before the chart.

Recommendations - Display of Instructions

Simplify wording of the directions and make them hierarchical. Only present relevant information to users.

Depression test

Feeling sad or miserable most of the time?

When you're going through a tough time it's normal to feel down for a while, emotions like sadness and grief help make us human. But if you're feeling sad or miserable most of the time over a long period of time, you might have depression.

Take this self-test to help figure out whether you're showing any of the warning signs of depression. This won't give you a diagnosis but it will help you decide the next step.

TAKE THE TEST

"It's so incredible to finally be understood."

Take our Personality Test and get a "freakishly accurate" description of who you are and why you do things the way you do.

Take the Test →

Ten-Point Scale

- 6/9 participants thought the 10 point scale was too much.
- 4/9 needed to scroll back up to see the labels.

46

The choices are so subjective; what's an 8 compared to a 6?



There are too many choices. Five would be better.



The numbers don't follow you, so I don't know which bubble is which, I would end up guessing rather than scrolling back to check.

Recommendations - Ten-Point Scale

Lower the number of options; freeze scale label while scrolling

Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree
0	0	O	O	O
O	O		O	O

Split Survey Into Pages

5/9 participants commented that the assessment was very long, and they had to keep scrolling on the survey.



It's quite long. I wish they had explained how long it would take at the beginning.




Oh, Lord. This is so long.



This page is so long, my hands got tired.

Recommendations - Split Survey Into Pages

Split assessment into several parts and add progress bar



Symptom Star

Participants struggled to interpret the symptom star and 4/9 of them thought that the example of the symptom star was their own results.



I'm not really sure how to read this



Does that mean high tolerance or is it bad for me? Is it good or bad to have high score?



"Oh! I thought this is my result," said after the test.

Recommendations - Symptom Star

Provide results automatically and possibly change symptom star to other visual graph



Results Sharing

5/9 participants expected to be able to share results electronically with the doctor (e.g., submitting it automatically, and email).



I would expect to be emailed a copy, but they didn't ask for my email address.



I think that when I click "submit," it is automatically sent to the doctor. I would be annoyed if I had to print it out and share results that way.



I hope it has summary page and I'll print it from there after I click submit.

Recommendations - Results Sharing

Provide easier ways to share and save (email results, profiles, easy print)

If you were to control your risk factors for cardiovascular disease to acceptable levels, then your 30 year risk would be:

9%*

Before increasing your physical activity level, check with your doctor to make sure it's safe for you to proceed.

- Eat a healthy diet that emphasizes:
 - Fruits, vegetables and whole grains
 - Low-fat dairy products and low-fat proteins, such as poultry, fish and legumes

About this tool Print Results

Start Over

The results from this assessment are estimates and should be interpreted as one factor in determining your risk of heart disease. These results may occasionally be inaccurate and may overestimate risk in some populations.

Visual Appeal

6/9 participants felt that the QEESI was outdated and not aesthetically appealing.

66

I'm not sure why it has to look so boring. It lools like a very serious paper.

66


On a scale from 1 to 5, it's a 3. It's functional, but it is not particularly creative or attractive.

66

There is no visual appeal to QEESI, the font was kind of small, a large font would would have been great.


Recommendations - Visual Appeal

Provide constistant and aesthetically appealing visuals



SUS Evaluation

- System Usability Scale (SUS)
 - 10 question questionnaire to measure usability of a product
- QEESI
 - 64 average SUS score
 - Below industry standard of 68



Summary

Summary

Must Haves

- Provide results
 automatically and possibly change symptom star to other visual graph
- Split survey into pages with progress bar
- Reduce instruction words and write it for target audiences

Nice to Haves

- Investigate lowering the number of options on scale
- Make Likert labels visible at all times
- Provide easier ways to share and save results (email results, user profiles, easy print)

Set Yourself Apart

- Provide suggestions with results
- Enhance visual appeal
- Track changes over time online (create a user profile)

Questions?